1. There is a suspicion of Academic Misconduct.

2. Instructor submits suspicion information to AIO Automated System, ryerson.ca/academicintegrity/for_faculty.html

3. Choose a discussion type:
   - Facilitated Discussion
   - Non-Facilitated Discussion
   - Refer the Suspicions to a Designated Decision Maker

   Note: Discussion is organized to occur within 5 business days of notification (where possible).

4. Decision Maker chooses a Decision Option within 3 business days of discussion and submits this in writing to the AIO Automated System.

5. The AIO reviews and the Automated System sends Decision Letter to student and all relevant parties.

Legend

AIC – Academic Integrity Council
AIO – Academic Integrity Office
DDMC – Designated Decision Makers Council
DDM – Designated Decision Maker
DN – Disciplinary Notation
FAI – Fundamentals of Academic Integrity Quiz
SAC – Senate Appeals Committee
SLS – Student Learning Support

The Process

1. There is a suspicion of Academic Misconduct.

2. Instructor submits suspicion information to AIO Automated System, ryerson.ca/academicintegrity/for_faculty.html

3. Choose a discussion type:
   • Facilitated Discussion
   • Non-Facilitated Discussion
   • Refer the Suspicion to a Designated Decision Maker

   Note: Discussion is organized to occur within 5 business days of notification (where possible).

4. Decision Maker chooses a Decision Option within 3 business days of discussion and submits this in writing to the AIO Automated System.

5. The AIO reviews and the Automated System sends Decision Letter to student and all relevant parties.