EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In accordance with the Ontarians with Disabilities Act, universities are required annually to:

• Prepare an accessibility plan;
• Consult with persons with disabilities and others in preparing the plan; and
• Make the plan public.

This report outlines progress made towards the priorities outlined in our 2006-2007 Accessibility Plan, provides a discussion of some emerging issues and identifies the priorities for 2007-2008. The report is based on input from the members of the Accessibility Advisory Committee (a group representing all Faculties, various administrative departments, and students, see Appendix B), and from meetings with students, faculty surveys, and with Senior Directors.

This report indicates that progress has been made in promoting accessibility on the Ryerson campus. This extends to the built environment and to policies and practices affecting faculty, staff and students. Nevertheless, promoting full accessibility is a work in progress and much remains to be done.

The following priorities are recommendations of the Ryerson Accessibility Advisory Committee.

PRIORITIES FOR 2007-2008

Priority Area A: Emergency response and safety for people with disabilities

A.1. Promote and communicate the role of community members in supporting people with disabilities in the event of an emergency

Priority Area B: Awareness, elimination, and prevention of attitudinal and systemic barriers

B.1. Promote accessibility awareness

B.2. Identify resources necessary to meet the needs of people with disabilities

B.3. Create a portal for community members to access available resources relevant to disability needs

B.4. Develop strategies to increase the number of students with disabilities participating in exchange programs and co-ops

B.5. Develop strategies to increase the number of students with disabilities accepted into graduate programs
B.6. Regularly communicate accessibility needs and issues to the larger university community.

**Priority Area C: Elimination and prevention of physical barriers**

C.1. Promote access by identifying and eliminating current physical barriers and prevent future physical barriers.
PURPOSE OF THE ACCESSIBILITY PLAN

The purpose of the Ontarians with Disabilities Act is “to improve opportunities for persons with disabilities and to provide for their involvement in the identification, removal and prevention of barriers to their full participation in the life of the province.”

As the Council of Ontario Universities’ Guidelines for the University Sector states “…universities play a crucial role in ensuring that persons with disabilities have access to education and the opportunities that it provides.”

In accordance with the Ontarians with Disabilities Act, universities are required annually to:

• Prepare an accessibility plan;
• Consult with persons with disabilities and others in preparing the plan; and
• Make the plan public.

Ryerson University fully endorses the purpose and intent of the Ontarians with Disabilities Act. People with disabilities are an integral part of the university community and are entitled to an accessible physical, social, and academic environment. The academic mission statement explicitly includes a commitment to accessibility. As Ryerson has become more inclusive, it has made significant progress in becoming more accessible, although there is still work to be done. One positive outcome of this commitment to accessibility is that more students and employees with disabilities are choosing Ryerson, reflecting confidence that Ryerson genuinely cares about their success.

In addition to initiatives already undertaken, the goal of the Accessibility Advisory Committee (AAC) in developing this plan is to continue to provide resources and direction to individual students, faculty and staff and to continue to work towards full accessibility within the Ryerson community.

This report first outlines progress made on the priorities outlined in our 2006-2007 Accessibility Plan and initiatives that arose independently of the 2006-2007 Accessibility Plan. While it is our practice to identify specific objectives for some priorities, we have focused our report on the priorities themselves rather than on the objectives. A full list of the 2006-2007 Priorities and objectives is included in Appendix A. The report also includes a discussion of some emerging issues which frame the identified priorities and objectives for 2007-2008.
METHODOLOGY

The information for this report was gathered in a number of ways. The committee members shared their own experience and observations as members of the Ryerson community, identifying changes they had noted and areas where further action was required. The Accessibility Advisory Committee included representatives from most Faculties, Student Services, and Human Resource’s Employment Equity group. The committee also enjoyed active participation from student members, as individuals or as representatives of student organizations. The list of members is included at the end of this report (see Appendix B).

The Accessibility Plan for the 2007-2008 Academic year was developed in consultation with the Ryerson Community through a variety of forums. The issues brought to the fore in this consultation process have helped shape the priorities targeted for 2007 – 2008. The AAC hosted an open meeting with a presentation from an Access Centre Student (and current members of the AAC), and the mother of a student served by the Access Centre. The objectives of the meeting were to gain a clearer perspective on some of the remaining challenges facing students including physical, systemic, and attitudinal barriers. In addition, the AAC developed a survey targeting RFA faculty. The intent of this survey was to better understand challenges in working and teaching at Ryerson for individuals with disabilities, to determine what efforts have been made to eliminate barriers to accessibility, and to determine what barriers remain. Accessibility issues were also discussed with Senior Directors during a meeting and on an individual basis. The intent of these discussions was to learn of existing barriers within administrative areas and plans to eliminate these barriers.

As always, Ryerson’s Access Centre was extremely helpful in identifying the challenges encountered in assisting students and in forwarding a number of suggestions relating to barrier removal.

REVIEW OF INITIATIVES TO ADDRESS 2006-2007 PRIORITIES

Priority I: The Accessibility Advisory Committee (AAC) will play a more active role in promoting accessibility issues and identifying and overcoming barriers.

Priority II: Communication about the role of the AAC and about measures taken to promote accessibility and inclusion will be developed.

These first two priorities are inextricably linked, and progress in addressing these priorities is discussed collectively below.

Ryerson is well represented in standards development committees under the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA, 2005). Current members of the AAC serve on the Employment Standards Development Committee and the Customer Service Standards Development Committee. A former co-chair of the AAC serves as a member of AODA’s Advisory Council. As well, a design professional in the Department of
Campus Planning and Facilities sits on the Built Environment Standards Development Committee representing the Council of Ontario Universities (COU) and the Ontario Association of Physical Plant Administrators (OAPPA).

The consultation process was expanded this year to include input from individual Ryerson Faculty Association members through the use of an on-line survey. Our consultation with students included a presentation from the parent of a student with a disability who was able to speak to types of support needed by the family of students with disabilities. The recent expansion of the committee to include additional student members improved the committee’s exposure and broadened the perspective in developing this year’s Accessibility Plan.

Last year’s Accessibility Plan was presented to Senate, and members were given the opportunity to discuss it. The Plan was posted on the Ryerson website, and discussed at Deans’ and Chairs’ meetings and at Senior Directors’ meetings. In addition, Campus Planning and Facilities continued this year to post on its website the projects undertaken to address physical barriers around the campus.

In 2006 Employment Equity developed and presented a training session to new Chairs about equity and accommodation practices at Ryerson. Human resources continues to facilitate yearly training sessions for new Departmental Appointment Committee and Instructor Appointment Committee members that includes a specific focus on accommodation for Persons with Disabilities.

Discrimination and Harassment Prevention Services (DHPS), with the assistance of peer trainers, have developed a map of the Ryerson Campus that reflects Accessible Entrances and Elevators (http://www.ryerson.ca/equity/AccessibleCampusMap.pdf). DHPS are currently in the process of developing a brochure that will identify accessible, all-gender, single stall washrooms on campus.

Priority III: Promote the role of community members in supporting people with disabilities in the event of an emergency.

The Centre for Environmental Health and Safety Management has published procedures for the evacuation of persons with special needs and/or disabilities. This list of procedures is available online at www.ryerson.ca/cehsm/reporting/evac.html. A sheet listing emergency procedures is posted in classrooms near emergency phones, and this also covers evacuation procedures for non-ambulatory people. This is viewed as progress in meeting this priority. However there are still concerns (detailed below) about the awareness of community members concerning their roles in supporting people in the event of an emergency. For this reason, promotion of the role of community members in the event of an emergency remains a priority item for 2007-2008.

Priority IV: Ryerson’s public relations and recruitment materials will reflect the diverse nature of the Ryerson community, including portrayals of people with disabilities.
Ryerson University endeavors to demonstrate in its marketing and communications materials the broad range and diversity of the University’s student, faculty and staff population. Working with partners in the University community, the marketing team identifies and recruits members of the community to appear in information and marketing materials who visually represent student diversity, including students with disabilities.

In the past year, students and staff with disabilities appeared in promotional materials for part- and full-time programs, the Office of Discrimination and Harassment Prevention Services, and the Positive Space campaign. In addition, Warren Rupnarain, a 2006 graduate of the School of Social Work who gained national attention for lobbying federal politicians on disability issues, was one of three Ryerson students featured prominently in the University’s 2006 recruiting campaign.

This year, the Employment Equity at Ryerson developed a brochure which included a new visual logo and phrase “Connect with Equity” to improve visibility and understanding of the role of the Employment Equity Office.

Priority V: Strategies to increase the number of students with disabilities participating in exchange programs and in co-ops will be explored.

Discussion of initiatives to address this priority is expanded to include student placements required by academic programs. During the past year, the relationship between the Access Centre and one department, that requires student placements to demonstrate the acquisition of professional skills, has greatly improved. The number of students with physical disabilities in placements did increase, and physical accessibility on placements was better. However, improved staff training is necessary to improve awareness of accessibility needs, both within academic units placing students and within organizations accepting students.

Priority VI: Strategies to increase the number of students with disabilities accepted into graduate programs will be explored.

The Accessibility Advisory Committee is holding discussions with the Office of Graduate Studies about strategies for recruiting students with disabilities.

Priority VII: Strategies to ensure that recruitment forums include representatives that are aware of the resources available to assist community members with disability issues.

The Employment Equity Office (EEO) has undertaken a number of initiatives that relate (directly, or indirectly) to this priority. In the past year, the Employment Equity Office has:

1) Implemented a staff education program to enhance managers’ and leaders’ knowledge of employee accommodation obligations under the Ontario Human Rights Code and the ODA.
2) Developed communications tools such as fact sheets, quick tips, resource lists, and other information links on how to accommodate people with disabilities. In
addition they have developed new guidelines on how to respond to accommodation requests.

In the coming year, the EEO plans to:

1) Improve Employment Equity communication tools including website resources
2) Expand its commitment to develop an educational strategy in conjunction with other units. It is expected that these sessions will reach all levels of staff, faculty, Deans, Chairs and Directors on a yearly basis. The overall goal of the training strategy will be to increase awareness within all levels of the Ryerson community on specific issues relating to disabilities and accommodations. In the short-term, the strategy will focus on directors, managers, and supervisors as it is anticipated that this is where training initiatives will have the greatest impact.
3) Update the “Accommodation of Employees and Applicants with Disabilities” policy, the procedures for accessing CEAF” and the “Request for Reimbursement from CEAF” form. Revisions to the policy have been made to clarify the policy. The current priority is to develop strategies aimed at communicating the policy to the Ryerson community.
4) Develop promotional and educational materials, including brochures, that will assist in future educational strategies.

Priority VIII: Encourage the University to expand eligibility for the accommodation fund to include community accommodation needs.

The University continues to cost-share accommodation requirements with schools and departments as needs arise and are identified. The EEO has initiatives underway to improve usability and understanding of the Central Employee Accommodation Fund (see above).

PROGRESS ON OTHER FRONTS

In October, 2006, Academic Council approved Policy 159, outlining the responsibilities of all interested parties in the accommodation of students with disabilities in accordance with the terms of the Ontario Human Rights Code. One change that has occurred because of Policy 159 is in the area of academic awards. Academic awards are indicators of achievement, and can improve the chances of success in applying to graduate studies programs, or can distinguish a student applying for jobs or co-op placements. The nature of some disabilities preclude students from attending Ryerson full-time. Students attending Ryerson part-time due to their disabilities were previously excluded from consideration for Dean’s list honours. This situation has been rectified and these students are now eligible for consideration.

In addition to progress in Priorities identified in last year’s Accessibility Plan, we wish to highlight some initiatives undertaken in other sectors of Ryerson to improve accessibility during 2006-2007. Below, we highlight efforts by the major administrative sectors to identify and eliminate existing barriers to accessibility.
1. Access Centre –
   - Promoted self-advocacy among students
   - Created an online community for students registered with the Access Centre
   - Created Access Centre Student Advisory Committee to facilitate monthly meetings for students interested in improving accessibility
   - Advocated on behalf of students with professors, Student Financial Assistance, and for physically accessible office space.

2. ELS and LSC -
   - Reduced physical barriers (access to space) - handrails and step edges were painted yellow, overhead lighting was improved in hallways, hallway signage was improved throughout ELS, LSC, and Access Test Centre, access to elevator keys was improved.
   - Reduced physical barriers (access to technology) - A new website was launched which allows students to increase font size. A note was included on the LSC registration that if students are using Visual Reader Software, they can call LSC for registration assistance.
   - Staff training in working with students with disabilities was conducted as needed.

3. Human Resources -
   - Attitudinal barriers – implemented a staff education program to enhance managers’ and leaders’ knowledge of employee accommodation obligations under the Ontario Human Rights Code and the ODA
   - Attitudinal barriers – developed communication tools such as fact sheets, quick tips, resource lists, and other information links on how to accommodate people with disabilities, as well as new guidelines on how to respond to accommodation requests

4. Housing –
   - Physical barriers – currently retro-fitting of rooms to accommodate students with physical disabilities, in consultation with Access Centre; redesigned office with more accessible reception counter

5. Health Centre –
   - Physical barriers – an automatic door was installed leading into the clinic; an area was cleared at the front desk so that individuals in wheel chairs can communicate face-to-face with reception staff
   - Attitudinal barrier – developed a workshop for staff to address attitudes that may hinder full access to therapeutic care, planned for the coming year

6. Sports and Recreation -
   - Physical barriers – had a thorough accessibility study conducted by Jureka & Associates. This study documents some barriers in the RAC; correction of these accessibility concerns in washrooms, changing rooms as well as door changes have been addressed
CONCLUSIONS: ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND OUTSTANDING ISSUES

This year, substantial progress has been made in making Ryerson University a more accessible community. Physical accessibility continues to improve as changes to the grounds and buildings are made with consideration of accessibility. New construction and renovation projects in design continue to receive input from the Access Centre to insure accessibility issues are addressed and new barriers are not inadvertently created.

Staff and faculty are building on the work of previous years to ensure that students with disabilities can participate fully in academic programming and student life. The attitudes being expressed by the academic community, for the most part, seem to indicate that accessibility is a mainstream issue now and that disability issue management is everyone’s responsibility. An awareness about the services offered through the Access Centre to support students, an awareness of the funds available to support faculty and staff to overcome barriers and the President’s Commission have shown that Ryerson is exhibiting momentum around accessibility awareness and remains increasingly committed to removing barriers at Ryerson.

However, through the consultations with students and faculty, it is apparent that there are still some misconceptions about accessibility issues. For example, some community members remain unclear about who has responsibility for evacuating students with disabilities in the event of an emergency even though Ryerson’s Emergency Response Manual describes this eventuality. As well, there is still a strong demand for additional accessible washrooms in Ryerson buildings. Although washrooms throughout the campus are being converted slowly, this will remain an issue until all washrooms are retrofitted with accessible fixtures. There still remains some sensitivity around self-identifying accessibility needs to faculty by students. At the same time, some faculty feel that they could be more effective in removing barriers that impact students if they were informed about accessibility issues earlier.

Students continue to advise the AAC that they are not receiving course materials in alternative formats early enough in the term. This is an ongoing issue and seems to be tabled every year. Students also report that there is variation in the extent to which faculty are prepared to accommodate their learning needs with, for example, extensions for course work or alternate assignments. It is hard to gauge the extent to which there has been improvement in these areas.

Without a doubt, today’s students have higher expectations regarding the university’s responsibility to provide needed accommodations, and rightfully so. It is hoped that the accommodation policy referred to above will rectify this issue over time. From the perspective of faculty, there appears to be recognition of the need to provide accommodations but a feeling that the resources needed to do this are not always available. Some faculty have identified the need for more funding to assist students with disabilities – or perhaps, more accurately, to assist faculty to assist these students. One resource need that has been identified by several survey respondents is expansion of the
pool of professional note-takers. Again, early self-declaration by students will help faculty and staff address existing barriers.

Many of the priority issues identified in our 2006-2007 Accessibility Plan are issues that will continue for some time to come. Promoting full accessibility will always be “a work in progress”. The University must continue to work towards increasing accessibility, responding appropriately to all its members, articulating and safeguarding rights, promoting inclusiveness in hiring and admissions and providing accommodations as required.

**PRIORITIES FOR 2007-2008**

Based on the state of progress relative to last year’s priorities, and the identification of needs during the consultation process, the Accessibility Plan for the current academic year (2007-2008), are divided into three priority areas: 1) Emergency Response and Safety, 2) Awareness, elimination, and prevention of attitudinal and systemic barriers, and 3) Elimination and prevention of physical barriers.

These priorities and the specific objectives proposed are recommendations of the Ryerson Accessibility Advisory Committee.

**Priority Area A: Emergency response and safety for people with disabilities**

A.1. Promote the role of community members in supporting people with disabilities in the event of an emergency

The emergency procedures relating to evacuation of people with special needs and/or disabilities posted on-line are more comprehensive than those posted in classrooms. We recognize that this is inevitable as the procedures posted in class have to cover all manner of emergency. There are some inconsistencies in procedures posted on-line and in classrooms that need clarification. There remains some confusion of the role of faculty/staff in an emergency, particularly if the faculty or staff member is disabled.

To better promote the role of community members in the event of an emergency, we recommend the following specific objectives:

I. Information on evacuation procedures will be made available on-line in a more prominent location, as part of an accessibility portal on the RAMSS homepage. This portal will be further discussed in other priority items.

II. Procedures posted in classrooms will be reviewed to see if they can be made more consistent with those posted on-line.

III. Faculty or staff responsible for a group of students, should ensure that students are aware of emergency procedures. This could be accomplished by:
   a. discussing emergency procedures in class
   b. encouraging anyone who may need special assistance during an emergency to discuss a plan with the instructor
c. including a brief section on emergency procedures in the course outline

IV. Faculty or staff with special needs are encouraged to develop individualized plans and discuss them with students or designated individuals.

V. Appropriate emergency response will be covered in faculty, staff, and student orientation

The Accessibility Advisory Committee will promote these actions by faculty and staff with reminders prior to the beginning of each semester.

**Priority Area B: Awareness, elimination, and prevention of attitudinal and systemic barriers**

B.1. The AAC will continue to promote accessibility awareness

*Specific Objectives:*

I. Representatives of the Accessibility Advisory Committee will participate in faculty and student orientation programs to promote awareness of policies and resources related to accommodation

II. The AAC will promote educational programming for staff, such as the efforts by Human Resources to enhance managers’ and leaders’ knowledge of employee accommodation obligations under the Ontario Human Rights Code, the Ontarians with Disabilities Act (2001) and the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (2005).

III. The AAC will participate in educational outreach initiatives. Possibilities being considered include:
   a. An Access to Education theme for the May faculty conference.
   b. A panel discussion at the May faculty conference to focus on strategies for promoting academic success by students with disabilities, and the role of accommodations.
   c. Promotion of International Day of People with Disabilities, to be hosted in Toronto on December 3, 2007.

IV. The AAC will contribute to efforts by the Committee for Effective Learning and Teaching Environment. This committee is redesigning a classroom as a demonstration of best practices relating to learning space design. Accessibility needs will be included in the design of this room, which may serve as a template for future classroom design at Ryerson.

B.2. The AAC will identify resources necessary to meet the needs of people with disabilities

*Specific Objectives:*

I. The AAC will continue to consult with the Ryerson community to identify accessibility needs. Consultation will remain inclusive (i.e. students, staff, and
faculty). The AAC will maintain representation from the Access Centre, RyeAccess, and the EEO.

II. The AAC will regularly meet with the university executive to communicate identified needs.

III. The AAC will collaborate with the Access Centre to assist students with disabilities in finding needed financial resources, through information on counseling, scholarships, and bursaries. The role of the AAC will be to make information easily found on an accessibility portal (see below).

B.3. The AAC, in collaboration with the Digital Media Projects Office, will create and promote a portal for community members to access available resources relevant to disability needs.

Currently, many campus resources exist, but recent surveys of faculty and communication with students reveal that awareness of the breadth of these resources is limited. The Accessibility Committee can work with DMP on making a relevant portal that will be available to students, staff, and faculty on RAMSS. This portal is intended as a starting point for finding all relevant resources. It will be a place to make maintenance requests that are related to physical barriers. It will also serve as a location to find information on emergency procedures for evacuating a building in the case of an emergency. The University’s annual Accessibility Plan and the composition and mission of the AAC will also be accessible through this portal.

B.4. Strategies to increase the number of students with disabilities participating in exchange programs and in co-ops will be explored in collaboration with the Ryerson Cooperative education office.

Specific Objectives:
I. The AAC will investigate measures to facilitate placing students with disabilities on placements and practicum.

II. The AAC will invite participation by University Advancement in looking into creating a disability network amongst Ryerson’s students and alumni.

B.5. Strategies to increase the number of students with disabilities accepted into graduate programs will be explored.

Specific Objectives:
I. The AAC will promote continued evaluation of candidates for grad school on fit, relationship with supervisor and areas of strength rather than just overall grade point average.

II. The AAC will look for an opportunity to promote a graduate student(s) with a disability by developing a case study around their situation i.e. should a graduate student with a disability be agreeable, create a promotion that highlights the student’s success in the graduate program.
B.6. The Accessibility Advisory Committee will more effectively communicate about accessibility needs and issues to the University-wide community.

Bi-monthly meetings between the AAC co-chairs and the Executive will ensure that recommendations and identified action items of the committee receive appropriate attention.

The AAC will use forums including the May faculty conference, faculty, staff, and student orientations, and the Accessibility portal (above) to communicate about accessibility with the broader University community. The AAC will further promote the inclusion of people with disabilities in promotional material and recruiting forums.

Priority Area C: Elimination and prevention of physical barriers.

C.1. The Accessibility Advisory Committee will promote access by identifying and eliminating current physical barriers and preventing future physical barriers.

Specific Objectives:
I. Campus Planning and Facilities will be used to rapidly address physical barriers, and for tracking the resolution of these barriers. This permits a form of continuous consulting regarding physical barriers to accessibility. The AAC endorses using the current fixit@ryerson.ca and doit@ryerson.ca options on the Campus Planning and Facilities website, which will be promoted through the accessibility portal.

II. A review of way-finding will be undertaken to improve navigation for students with visual/spatial disabilities. Several initiatives could help remove this barrier for students.
   a. Way-finding signage will be audited and replaced (as needed) to improve navigation.
   b. Building plans will be made available on-line, in a printable format that highlights accessible washrooms, single-stall washrooms, elevators, and accessible entrances.
   c. A campus map that includes accessible entrances will be posted in prominent locations.
APPENDIX A

PRIORITIES AND OBJECTIVES OUTLINED IN THE 2006-2007 ACCESSIBILITY PLAN

1. The Accessibility Advisory Committee will play a more active role.
Specific Objectives:
   1.1 The AAC will meet on a regularly scheduled basis.
   1.2 The AAC will solicit reports from students, student groups, academic and administrative departments at least once per year.
   1.3 The AAC will secure the resources required to effectively carry out the functions mentioned above.
   1.4 The AAC will monitor the development of standards under the AODA legislation.

2. Communication about the role of the AAC and about measures taken to promote accessibility and inclusion will be developed.
Specific objectives:
   2.1 The Accessibility Plan will be circulated to the Ryerson community and information provided as to how to access it on the web.
   2.2 Steps taken to promote physical accessibility will be posted on the web on the Campus Planning and Facilities website.
   2.3 Periodic updates will be provided to the Ryerson community regarding other accessibility initiatives being undertaken or completed.

3. Promote the role of community members in supporting people with disabilities in the event of an emergency.
Specific objectives:
   3.1 Emergency manuals should highlight the responsibility of instructors and staff to assist people with disabilities in the event of an emergency.
   3.2 Fire Warden training should highlight the need to have a plan to evacuate people with disabilities in the event of an emergency.
   3.3 New Chairs’ training should highlight the responsibility of faculty and staff to assist in evacuating people with disabilities in the event of an emergency.
   3.4 CE instructors’ training should highlight the responsibility of instructors to assist in evacuating people with disabilities in the event of an emergency.
   3.5 Incorporate accessibility issues in Teaching and Learning orientation for new instructors and faculty.
   3.6 Develop a strategy to promote accessibility issues to part time day instructors.

4. Ryerson’s public relations and recruitment materials will reflect the diverse nature of the Ryerson community, including portrayals of people with disabilities.
Specific objectives:
   4.1 The AAC will suggest guidelines regarding the portrayal of individuals with disabilities in University material.
4.2 Continue to encourage a wider inclusion of diverse community members in public relations and recruitment materials created by the University.

5. Strategies to increase the number of students with disabilities participating in exchange programs and in co-ops will be explored.
Specific objectives:
   5.1 The AAC will investigate measures to facilitate placing students with disabilities on placements and practicum.
   5.2 The AAC will invite participation by University Advancement in looking into creating a disability network amongst Ryerson’s students and alumni.

6. Strategies to increase the number of students with disabilities accepted into graduate programs will be explored.
Specific objectives:
   6.1 Continue evaluating candidates for grad school on fit, relationship with supervisor and areas of strength rather than just overall grade point average.
   6.2 Look for an opportunity to promote a graduate student(s) with a disability by developing a case study around their situation i.e. should a graduate student with a disability be agreeable, create a promotion that highlights the student’s success in the graduate program.

7. Recruitment forums should include representatives who are aware of the resources available to assist students with disabilities.

8. Encourage the University to expand eligibility for the accommodation fund to include student needs.
APPENDIX B

LIST OF ACCESSIBILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS
Ian Hamilton, Co-Chair
Andrew Laursen, Co-Chair

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>DEPARTMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restiani Andriati</td>
<td>Instructional Designer</td>
<td>Digital Media Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judy Britnell</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Learning &amp; Teaching Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion Creery</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Student Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurie Clune</td>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>School of Nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joshua Dvorkin</td>
<td>Student</td>
<td>Journalism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deb Fels</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Centre for Learning Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Des Glynn</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Arts Program Continuing Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ian Hamilton</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Campus Planning &amp; Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Laursen</td>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>Chemistry and Biology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanya Lewis</td>
<td>Coordinator</td>
<td>Access Centre For Students With Disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie Marinich</td>
<td>Employment Equity Consultant</td>
<td>Human Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bobbi Moore</td>
<td>Student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saburah Murdoch</td>
<td>Student Co-ordinator RyeAccess</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Nitray</td>
<td>Student</td>
<td>Image Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maureen Reed</td>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Simas</td>
<td>Student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Whiteside</td>
<td>Officer</td>
<td>Discrimination &amp; Harassment Prevention Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryl Wilson</td>
<td>Senior Equity Consultant</td>
<td>Human Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathryn Woodcock</td>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>School of Public Health</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>