

Introduction

Ryerson University

The public is exposed to many sources of noise during their visit to indoor aquatic facilities. Splashing, loud screaming and whistle blowing are just a few examples that could lead to excessive exposure to noise. One of the more concerning auditory health effects is noise induced hearing loss (NIHL). Under the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA), noise exposure for an employee should not exceed a time_weighted average of 85 dB over an 8_hour period. It is generally accepted that the same standard be adopted for the public's exposure to noise. This pilot study aimed to explore whether the public is being overexposed to noise at swimming pools across the GTA, and if so, at what levels. Currently, no other studies have been identified that have explored this topic.

Methods

- Noise assessment conducted at 8 facilities across the GTA using 2 Larson Davis Soundtrack LxT2 sound level meters (SLM)
- SLM were mounted on tripods approximately 5 feet tall, and set to A_weighting frequency and "SLOW" response
- Calibration prior to each sampling session using a Larson Davis CAL150 precision acoustic calibrator
- Public noise perception questionnaires were developed with reference to previous studies conducted by Beach, E.F., and Nie, $V_{.}(2014)$ and Hall, $M_{.}(2016)$.
- Participants selected based on convenience sampling at the time of noise assessment



Project Link (n.d.)



Noise in Aquatic Facilities: A Pilot Study on Public Exposure and Perception

Jana Lowry, Chun–Yip Hon School of Occupational and Public Health, Ryerson University

Hall, M. (2016)





	Activity	LAeq (dB)	LApea (dB)
Site A	Lessons	75.9	110.6
Site B	Lane Swim	73.6	102.2
Site B	Aquafit	77.8	108.4
Site B	Lessons	78.3	104.5
Site C	Recreational Swim	82.3	111.7
Site C	Recreational Swim	78.9	109.8
Site D	Recreational Swim	74.6	108.9
Site E	Lane Swim	77.8	108.7
Site F	Recreational Swim	75.9	109.8
Site G	Lessons	75.7	115.1
Site H	Recreational Swim	78.7	122

Discussion

Although the LAeq did not exceed the legislated standard, the peak sound pressure levels (LApeak) were high (102.2– 122 dB₎. This is alarming when compared to the permissible exposure time based on the OHSA exchange rate of 3 dB (Table 2). Individual susceptibilities may also predispose some individuals to experiencing negative health outcomes at lower thresholds. Despite the fact that noise levels were high, the public overall felt indifferent (Figure 2). When asked about noise levels when the pool is at it nosiest, a higher proportion of respondents answered 'loud/very loud' (67%) when compared to only 31% who responded 'loud/very loud' to the current noise levels. This indicates the further need to explore noise levels in indoor aquatic facilities in the GTA.

Table 2. – Permissible Noise Exposure (3dB Exchange Rate)		
dB	Permissible Exposure Time	
103	7 min 30 sec	
106	3 min 45 sec	
109	112 sec	
112	56 sec	
115	28 sec	
118	14 sec	
121	7 sec	

References

Beach, E.F., and Nie, V. 2014. Noise Levels in Fitness Classes Are Still Too High: Evidence From Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health. 69(4): 223–230.

Hall, M. 2016. Acoustic Design of Swimming Halls (Master's Dissertation). Retrieved from http://www.akustik.lth.se/fileadmin/tekniskakustik/publications/tvba5000/webTVB A5048.pdf.

Project Link . (n.d.). *LxT sound level meter from Larson Davis* [Infographic]. Retrieved from https://www.projectlink.com.au/m/view_description/31876.

Acknowledgements

This project was generously funded by the Lifesaving Society and Ryerson University. We wish to acknowledge the time and cooperation of all participants and their respective facilities.

1997_1998 and 2009_2011.

