



YEATES SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

### Report of the Internal Oral Examining Committee

Name:	Student ID:	Program:
Date:	Time:	Location:
Dissertation Entitled:		

Oral Examining Committee	
Name	Signature
Chair:	
Supervisor:	
Internal Member (YSGS Program):	
Internal Member (YSGS Program):	
Internal Member (YSGS Not Program):	
Optional Member:	

**A. Oral Examination: The Examining Committee finds the Oral Examination:**

Satisfactory       Unsatisfactory

**B. Written Dissertation: It is the decision of the Examining Committee to:**

Recommend<sup>1</sup>       Recommend with Revisions<sup>2</sup>       Not Recommend<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Any minor revisions ranging from typographical errors to specified insertions or deletions that do not radically modify the development/argument of the paper shall be clearly specified in writing and the student's Supervisor shall ensure that they are made. When the Supervisor confirms that the changes have been made, the examination requirement has been met.

<sup>2</sup> Detailed reasons for referring the paper for major revision ranging from re-writing a large part of a chapter to including additional work will be supplied in writing by the Chair of the examining committee to the candidate within one week following the oral review. The examining committee must give final approval to the major revisions by reconvening the examination or by consultation.

<sup>3</sup> Detailed reasons for the decision will be supplied in writing by the Chair of the examining committee to the candidate within one week following the oral examination.

<b>Comments/Recommendations:</b>
----------------------------------